



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,976	12/29/2003	Anthony Joonkyoo Yun	PALO-004	8822
24353	7590	04/10/2006	EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE				
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			3762	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,976	YUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kahelin	3762	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 and 21-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-20 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11292004</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of 1-11 and 15-20 in the reply filed on 2/28/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examining the nonelected claims poses no serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the inventions will require divergent searches, based on the classifications presented in the previous Office Action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 12-14 and 21-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/28/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how method step recited in claim 19 relates to the other method step of the parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 10, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rezai (US 2005/0065574, hereinafter “Rezai”). Please note that Examiner has confirmed “Table II” of Rezai’s disclosure is supported by its presentation in PCT/US03/02847, which is currently unpublished.

7. In regards to claim 1, Rezai discloses modulating a portion of the autonomic nervous system of a female subject (par. 0005), is capable of increasing the sympathetic activity/parasympathetic activity ratio of the subject, and is capable of treating a fertility condition (Table II, line 1 indicates “infertility” and “irregular/painful menses”, which means the device is applied to a female). For evidence that hypothalamus stimulation will result in increasing the said ratio, please refer to US 4,339,384 (Maillard et al.), column 8, line 34. Additionally, any stimulation of the autonomic system that increases the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity will inherently treat a fertility condition.

8. In regards to claims 4 and 10, the increase in the ratio comprises increasing sympathetic activity (see Maillard).

9. In regards to claim 7, the modulation is localized (Fig. 1).
10. In regards to claim 9, electrical energy is applied to the autonomic nervous system (abstract). Since the hypothalamus controls the autonomic nervous system, Examiner is interpreting the hypothalamus to be part of the ANS.
11. In regards to claim 20, the fertility condition is infertility (Table II, line 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
14. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Rezai or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rezai in view of Bothe Loncar et al. (US 2002/0188336, hereinafter "Bothe"). Rezai discloses the essential features of

the claimed invention, including providing stimulation continuously (par. 0042), which would inherently provide modulation during the luteal phase. Alternatively, Rezai does not explicitly specify performing modulation during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. Bothe teaches of providing ANS modulation during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle (par. 0264) to enhance the functions of the specific phase where pregnancy occurs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by modulating the ANS during the luteal phase to enhance the functions of the specific phase where pregnancy occurs.

15. Claims 5, 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Whitehurst et al. (US 6,832,114, hereinafter "Whitehurst"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for modifying the ratio by decreasing parasympathetic activity or increasing sympathetic activity and decreasing parasympathetic activity. Whitehurst teaches of ANS modulation achieved by inhibiting parasympathetic stimulation and/or activating sympathetic stimulation (col. 18, line 60) to more accurately modulate the innervated organ. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by providing ANS modulation by inhibiting parasympathetic stimulation and/or activating sympathetic stimulation to more accurately modulate the innervated organ.

16. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Mann et al. (US 2002/0055761, hereinafter "Mann"). Rezai discloses the

Art Unit: 3762

essential features of the claimed invention except for stimulating the pelvic nerve. Mann teaches of stimulating a pelvic nerve (par. 0076) to more locally treat a fertility condition so as to not affect other systems of the body (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by stimulating a pelvic nerve to more locally treat a fertility condition so as to not affect other systems of the body.

17. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Verrier et al. (US 5,437,285, hereinafter "Verrier"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention, including a closed-loop feedback mechanism (par. 0047) that will determine a variable (from the sensor) before, during, and after modulation because it is running in a loop fashion. Rezai does not disclose that the variable is the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity. Verrier teaches of an ANS sensing system wherein the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity (col. 7, line 21) is determined to sense the vulnerability of the organ innervated by the ANS (col. 6, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by determining the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity to sense the vulnerability of the organ innervated by the ANS.

18. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0064501, hereinafter "Khan"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for determining the ratio of Th1 to Th2. Khan teaches of regulating the Th1/Th2 ratio to facilitate fertility where improved

implantation is required. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by determining the ratio of Th1 to Th2 to further facilitate fertiity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE R. EVANISKO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4|3|6

MWK
mk KR
4/3/06